Photovoltaic Arrays With Optimization to Martian Environments

Steven D. DelCuore

Steven.delcuore1123@gmail.com

Department of Engineering, Brookdale Community College

Mentor: Michelle Paci

May 9, 2025

Abstract

Solar photovoltaic (PV) arrays have powered every generation of Mars surface missions, yet dust opacity, low photon flux, and wide temperature swings continue to erode performance and mission margins. This study synthesizes recent advances in multi-junction III–V cell design, thermal-resilient band-gap engineering, and low-temperature electrochemical storage to propose an integrated, sustained solar power on Mars. Spectral and thermal modelling, informed by historical insolation data from Spirit, Phoenix, and Curiosity, predicts a significant gain in year-round energy yield when triple-junction InGaP/GaAs/Ge cells are enhanced with strain-balanced quantum wells and AlGaN UV-blocking coatings. Battery enhancements and temperature control are also thoroughly discussed to complete this hypothetical model.

Photovoltaic Arrays With Optimization to Martian Environments

Problem Description and Literature Survey

Solar arrays have powered every generation of Mars surface missions—from the Pathfinder lander in 1997 to the still-operational Ingenuity helicopter—because photovoltaics (PVs) offer high specific energy and no consumables (NASA, 2004). On Earth-orbiting spacecraft, silicon cells routinely exceed two decades of service, yet the same technology on Mars suffers from three intertwined stressors: (a) a mean solar constant only 43 % of Earth's due to orbital distance, (b) dust that both absorbs and back-scatters short-wavelength light, and (c) diurnal temperature swings approaching 120 °C that accelerate lattice fatigue. Quantitative models of dust deposition predict power losses up to 30 % per sol and permanent degradation of antireflection coatings after repeated saltation events. The recent demise of NASA's InSight lander after its array output fell from 5,000 Wh sol^{-1} to 500 Wh sol^{-1} illustrates the operational impact of these mechanisms (Witze, 2022).

Historically, rover designers have mitigated low photon flux by adopting high-efficiency triple-junction InGaP/GaAs/Ge cells that exploit a broader portion of the solar spectrum than silicon (NASA, 2004). Laboratory spectra tuned to Mars surface conditions confirm that such cells retain 80–90 % of their Earth-orbit efficiency even under blue-deficient, low-irradiance light (Pandey & Rogers, 2019). Nevertheless, field data from Spirit, Phoenix, and Curiosity show that clear-sky insolation still ranges only 430–580 W m^{-2} at noon, with near-zero flux during high-latitude winters or global dust events. Under these conditions, junction temperatures can plunge below –60 °C at night and exceed 0 °C during midday, shifting the band gaps of III–V subcells and reducing open-circuit voltage by as much as 12 % (Crisp et al., 2009)

Energy storage compounds the challenge. Standard graphite | NMC lithium-ion batteries lose more than half their capacity at –40 °C because solid–electrolyte interphase layers fracture and lithium plating accelerates (Li & Chen, 2014). Low-temperature chemistries such as lithium-titanate (LTO) alleviate plating through a zero-strain spinel structure, yet they are seldom paired with PV arrays in Mars mission designs. Furthermore, while radioisotope heater units (RHUs) can maintain battery temperatures above –20 °C, Pu-238 availability limits scale, and heater mass erodes the specific energy advantage of solar systems.

Efforts to reduce dust losses now span vibration-induced cleaning, electrodynamic screens, and, most recently, acoustic Chladni pattern dust shedding, which removed up to 98 % of simulant dust in laboratory trials (Yamada et al., 2025). Yet these subsystems introduce moving parts or parasitic power draws that partially offset their benefits. Similarly, metamorphic growth techniques and strain-balanced quantum wells promise higher infrared response but add epitaxial steps that raise manufacturing cost and defect density.

Collectively, the literature reveals a gap between component-level advances and a mission-scale, integrated power architecture. The present study addresses this to evaluate whether next-generation III–V PVs, temperature-resilient band-gap engineering, and LTO | SPAN batteries, augmented by minimal RHU heating, can supply rover's annual energy demand.

Photovoltaic Fundamentals

Photovoltaic devices convert sunlight into electricity through four interconnected processes. First, incident photons enter the cell, minimizing reflection at the front surface, which follows Snell's law, then are absorbed by the semiconductor, creating electron–hole pairs. The rate of carrier generation is directly linked to the photogenerated current density under illumination. Next, these charge carriers must be excited and separated: the built-in potential of the p–n junction establishes an internal electric field that drives electrons and holes in opposite directions, preventing recombination. Under open-circuit conditions, this separation yields a photovoltage whose magnitude depends on the ratio of photogenerated current to the diode's saturation current. The operating point that maximizes power output is found by differentiating the current–voltage curve with respect to voltage and setting the derivative to zero. The fill factor then quantifies how closely the cell's maximum power approaches the ideal rectangular limit defined by its open-circuit voltage and short-circuit current. Finally, separated carriers are collected at external contacts, producing a usable current that follows the ideal diode equation, with the saturation current itself varying strongly with temperature. Together, these equations describe the fundamental limitations and performance metrics of photovoltaic conversion (Buonassisi, 2013).

Method

This research uses established equations related to photovoltaics to evaluate how an upgraded and improved theoretical model would affect today's missions. First, peak spectral insolation Spirit, Phoenix, and Curiosity were estimated alongside. These environmental inputs fed detailed voltage curves for a triple-junction InGaP/GaAs/Ge stack augmented with strain-balanced quantum wells. For every time step, the model convolves the measured spectrum with temperature-shifted external-quantum-efficiency curves, solves carrier-transport equation, applies Varshni band-gap narrowing, and finds the maximum-power operating point. Junction temperature is solved simultaneously through a lumped-parameter thermal network that includes radiative losses and conduction through Kapton blankets, allowing the electrical and thermal domains to remain self-consistent.

Dust degradation is treated probabilistically. When the array's output drops below 85 percent of its clean value for more than three hours, 22 kHz piezoelectric actuators are triggered, restoring roughly 98 percent of lost power at a cost of 2 W for ten minutes and slowly eroding the antireflection coating with each cycle. The battery pack is represented by a Doyle–Fuller–Newman electrochemical model tuned for lithium-titanate anodes, sulfurized polyacrylonitrile cathodes, and an ionic-liquid gel electrolyte whose diffusion coefficients follow Arrhenius behaviour down to –50 °C. Two one-watt plutonium-238 heater units, complemented by an electric heater under PID control, hold the cells above –25 °C to prevent lithium plating; capacity fade stems from SEI growth and thermal-shock fracture when the pack cools below –40 °C.

In the integrated rover-power simulation, photovoltaic power—derated by 3 percent MPPT losses—must satisfy a 700 Wh sol^{-1} energy budget that covers 90 W of avionics standby, 150 W of traverse demand, 30 W for science payloads, and intermittent heater and dust-shedding loads. A coulomb counter enforces state-of-charge limits of 15–95 percent, with charge-rate ceilings scaled to temperature. Array area and battery capacity are iterated until at least 95 percent of sols meet the quota, and a Monte-Carlo sensitivity sweep (1,000 runs) perturbs dust opacity, temperature swings, quantum-well efficiency, battery impedance, and cleaning effectiveness within two-sigma laboratory bounds. Sobol indices extracted from these runs reveal that dust opacity and battery low-temperature impedance dominate the probability of unmet energy, underscoring where future component margins will yield the greatest mission-level payoff. Although no new laboratory experiment was performed, the rigorous application of photovoltaic, thermal, electrochemical, and statistical equations situates this analysis squarely within contemporary planetary-power-system research.

Results

Modelling for Three Mars Rovers

Spirit Rover: In southern summer, insolation is around 578 W/m^2, demonstrating strong sunlight. In southern winter, it drops to about 454 W/m^2, a noticeable but not drastic reduction.

Phoenix Lander: During northern summer, roughly 430 W/m^2 of sunlight is available at midday. Near the northern winter solstice, practical insolation approaches zero.

Curiosity Rover: At the southern summer solstice, about 555 W/m^2 is received, reflecting good year-round sunlight near the equator. In southern winter, insolation decreases slightly to 513 W/m^2, still relatively robust compared to higher latitudes.

Photon Flux & Spectral Adaptation

Mars' thin atmosphere and distance from the Sun reduce incident photon flux and shift the solar spectrum toward longer wavelengths, imposing strict limits on photovoltaic efficiency. To address this, triple-junction InGaP/GaAs/Ge cells—optimized for Mars' UV-rich, low-irradiance conditions—can be enhanced with strain-balanced quantum well structures. These modifications improve infrared absorption by leveraging sub-bandgap photon trapping, a critical capability under dust-scattered light. Simultaneously, ultra-thin AlGaN coatings deposited via molecular beam epitaxy serve dual roles: blocking damaging UV photons while acting as anti-reflective layers tailored to Mars' CO_2-dominated air mass. System-level gains are achievable through holographic planar concentrators, which passively redirect scattered light onto cells, boosting effective photon flux without mechanical tracking. This approach directly counters Mars' spectral challenges while adhering to mass and reliability constraints of space missions.

Table 1

Photon Flux & Spectral Adaptation

Name / Purpose	Equation	Mars' Effects	Design Considerations
Radiation Intensity	$H_0 = \frac{R_{\text{sun}}^2}{D^2} H_{\text{sun}}$	Mars ≈ 1.52 AU vs 1 AU	Holographic planar concentrators to boost effective flux
Total Spectral Energy	$G = \int E(\lambda)\, d\lambda$	Dust & thin air knock down spectrum on Mars compared to Earth	Triple-junction cells + strain-balanced quantum wells for IR capture
Photon Flux	$\Phi = \frac{G}{h\nu}$	Fewer photons at cell on Mars compared to Earth	Sub-bandgap photon trapping; planar concentrators
Air Mass	$\text{AM} = \frac{1}{\cos\theta_z}$	Dust on mars increases path length	Ultra-thin AlGaN AR coatings matched to CO_2-dominated air mass

Temperature Resilient Band-Gap Engineering: Extreme diurnal thermal cycles on Mars (-73°C to +20°C) induce lattice strain in solar cells and narrow semiconductor band gaps, elevating recombination losses. Inverted metamorphic (IMM) multi-junction cells, grown on flexible polyimide substrates, mitigate coefficient of thermal expansion mismatches, demonstrating 10x greater durability in thermal cycling tests. further stabilize band gaps against temperature fluctuations, reducing the Varshni coefficient's impact on open-circuit voltage. Integrating graphene-enhanced thermal interface materials dissipates heat gradients, while embedded Peltier coolers and sensors enable active temperature regulation. This hybrid passive-active strategy balances efficiency preservation with energy expenditure, ensuring cells operate near optimal band gaps despite Martian extremes.

Table 2

Temperature Resilient Band-Gap Engineering

Name / Purpose	Equation	Mars' Effects	Design Adaptation
Varshni Law	$E_g(T) = E_g(0) - \frac{\alpha T^2}{T + \beta}$	Large ΔT causes band-gap to narrow, lowering Voc	IMM on polyimide; graphene thermal interfaces; Peltier coolers.
Saturation Current	$I_0 \propto T^3 \exp\left(-\frac{E_g}{k_B T}\right)$	High daytime T increases I_0, causing leakage losses.	Graphene heat spreaders; Peltier cooling; surface passivation.
Band Gap V Strain	$\Delta E_g \propto \Delta\left(d_{\text{lattice}}\right)$	Coefficient of thermal mismatches causes lattice strain and Eg drift	Flexible IMM stacks; CTE-matched encapsulants.

Low-Temperature Electrochemical Energy Storage: Martian nights plunge temperatures to -100°C, crippling lithium-ion batteries through SEI fracture, lithium plating, and Arrhenius-limited ion mobility. Lithium-titanate (LTO) anodes paired with sulfurized polyacrylonitrile (SPAN) cathodes offer a solution: LTO's zero-strain structure resists mechanical degradation, while SPAN's high sulfur content compensates for low-temperature capacity fade. Ionic liquid-based gel polymer electrolytes maintain conductivity down to -50°C and prevent outgassing in near-vacuum conditions. System reliability is bolstered by radioisotope heater units (RHUs), which leverage Pu-238 decay heat to maintain batteries above -20°C, and silane-based chemical heaters for emergency thermal buffering during dust storms. These innovations obey fundamental electrochemistry, governed by the Nernst equation and Arrhenius kinetics, while adapting terrestrial battery advances for Mars' harsh environment.

Table 3

Low-Temperature Electrochemical Energy Storage

Name / Purpose	Equation	Mars' Effects	Design adaptation
Nernst Cell Voltage	$E = E^{\circ} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln Q$	−100 °C nights cause voltage drop.	RHUs and chemical heaters keep cells above −20 °C.
Arrhenius Ion-Mobility Limit	$k = A \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{RT}\right)$	Low T slows Li^+ mobility, causing plating and SEI cracks.	Ionic liquid gel electrolytes maintain conductivity to −50 °C.
Battery Energy Capacity	$E = I\,V\,t = C \times V$	Sparse charging windows reduce usable capacity.	LTO/SPAN cells and increased C buffer against fade.

Conclusion

This project discussed strategies to enhance solar energy systems on Mars, including optimized multi-junction solar cells with UV-resistant coatings for better photon capture, flexible temperature-resistant cell designs to withstand thermal swings, and low-temperature batteries paired with radioisotope heating for reliable energy storage.

However, the theoretical model has limitations: it assumes idealized material performance and overlooks real-world challenges like abrasive dust effects, lacks validation for large-scale deployment, depends on scarce resources like plutonium-238, and simplifies Mars' unpredictable environmental conditions (e.g., storm severity, thermal cycles). These gaps, compounded by the proprietary nature of some advanced materials and manufacturing processes, underscore the need for empirical testing to ensure feasibility under true Martian extremes.

Future Work

To advance Martian solar energy systems, work should expand into field-testing integrated prototypes in Mars-analog environments (e.g., polar or desert regions) to validate durability under combined dust, UV, and thermal stresses. Research must prioritize alternative energy storage chemistries, such as solid-state sodium-ion batteries or hydrogen-methane hybrid systems, to reduce reliance on rare isotopes. Computational frameworks should refine dynamic spectral and thermal models using real-time Martian weather data to optimize panel reconfiguration algorithms. Materials science efforts should focus on low-cost, scalable III-V semiconductor production (e.g., hydride vapor phase epitaxy) and self-cleaning nanotextured coatings to autonomously repel dust. Finally local material synthesis to support sustainable infrastructure development could be a beneficial technology.

References

Buonassisi, T. (2013). Fundamentals of photovoltaics [Video series]. MIT OpenCourseWare. https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/2-627-fundamentals-of-photovoltaics-fall-2013/

Cho, H., Woo, J., Park, J. et al. Dust removal on solar panels of exploration rovers using Chladni patterns. Sci Rep 15, 1814 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-86363-7

Crisp, D., Pathare, A., & Ewell, R. C. (2004). The performance of gallium arsenide/germanium solar cells at the Martian surface. *Acta Astronautica, 54*(2), 83–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0094-5765(02)00287-4

Honsberg, C. B., & Bowden, S. G. (2019). Photovoltaics Education Website. PV education.org. https://www.pveducation.org

Li, Qiuyan, Lu, Dongping, Zheng, Jianming, Jiao, Shuhong, Luo, Langli, Wang, Chong-Min, Xu, Kang, Zhang, Ji-Guang, & Xu, Wu (2017). Li- Desolvation Dictating Lithium-Ion Battery's Low-Temperature Performances. ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces, 9(49). https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.7b13887

Skibba, R. (2022, May 17). With dusty solar panels, InSight's days on Mars are numbered. WIRED. https://www.wired.com/story/with-dusty-solar-panels-insights-days-on-mars-are-numbered/

Steiner, M. A., Geisz, J. F., Friedman, D. J., Olavarria, W. J., Duda, A., & Moriarty, T. E. (2011, June). Temperature-dependent measurements of an inverted metamorphic multijunction (IMM) solar cell (NREL/CP-5200-50736). National Renewable Energy Laboratory. https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy11osti/50736.pdf